

# MEMO ENDORSED

JAMES MIRRO

Special Assistant Corporation Counsel phone (212) 788-8026 fax (212) 788-9776

April 26, 2006

#### BY FAX & BY HAND

The Honorable James C. Francis IV United States Magistrate Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street - Room 1960 New York, New York 10007-1312

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4/28/06

Consolidated RNC Cases Re:

(see attached levt)

Dear Judge Francis:

At the last conference, we discussed that the Court had entered Discovery Order #1 (which contemplates consolidated discovery of certain defense witnesses) and Protective Order #1 (which provides for the confidential treatment of certain discovery material) in many of the consolidated RNC cases ("RNC Cases"). Copies of both orders signed by Your Honor are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B" (in the hand delivery only). We discussed that the electronic docket sheets of certain RNC Cases, particularly those that were filed more recently, appear to lack these orders. We have undertaken a review of the RNC Cases currently pending before Judge Karas and Your Honor and we identify below those cases in which either one or both have not been entered. As we have discussed, both orders should be entered in each of these RNC Cases and in all newly-filed RNC Cases to avoid any confusion concerning the obligations of the parties. If this meets with your approval, would you kindly "so order" it and have the clerk enter these orders in the cases indicated? Thank you.

Very truly yours,

All Counsel In The

cc:

Consolidated RNC Cases (by email)

Application gaudo-GO ORDERED. Yours C. Francis IV ames Mirro

CASE	DOCKET NO.	<b>JUDGES</b>	<u>PROTECTIVE</u>	DISCOVERY
			ORDER #1	ORDER #1
		VEN SIE /I COE	Di Fatan	Dlagge Enter
Cooper	05cv7576	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Coburn	05cv7623	KMK/JCF		Please Enter
Sloan	05c <u>v</u> 7668	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Sikelianos	05cv7673	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Stark	05cv7579	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Alpert	05ev9740	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Dolak	05cv9884	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Wheeler	05cv10016	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Emmer	05cv9993	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Dunlop	06cv433	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Fox	05cv9999	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Portera	05cv9985	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Banno	06cv2270	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Tikkun	05cv9901	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Sutter	05cv9989	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
<u>Sutter</u>	03647767	Rivilosci	Tiease Enter.	T Touse Effect.
Araneda	05cv9738	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Martini	05cv9881	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Zimmerman	05cv8483	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Reuben	05cv9987	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Kyne	06cv2041	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Turse	05cv8562	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Alberton	05cv7692	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Aluction	03077092	KWIK/JCI	Ticase Liner.	Ticase Effet.
Oehl	05cv7625	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Carney	05cv7672	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Dinler	04cv7921	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Miller, Beth	05ev7628	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Carney	05cv7672	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Galitzer	05cv7669	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Drescher	05cv7541	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Grisham	05cv8434	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Aloisio	05cv9737	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Kahl	05ev9885	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Seharf	05cv10012	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Remmes	05cv9940	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
	05cv10024	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Conley				
Carranza	05ev10010	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.

CASE	DOCKET NO.	<b>JUD</b> GES	<b>PROTECTIVE</b>	<b>DISCOVERY</b>
			<u>ORDER #1</u>	<u>ORDER #1</u>
Adams	05cv9484	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Abdell	05cv8453	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Eastwood	05cv9483	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Pincus	05cv7575	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Bastidas	05cv7670	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Alexander	05cv9886	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Anderson	05cv6918	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Concepcion	05cv8501	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Crotty	05cv7577	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Jarick	05cv7627	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Karlin	05cv7789	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Pagoda	05cv7546	KMK/JCF		Please Enter.
Rankin	06cv0362	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Rigger	05cv9882	KMK/JCF	Please Enter.	Please Enter.
Smith	06cv1779	KMK	Please Enter.	Please Enter.

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		х	DISCOVERY ORDER #1
MICHAEL SCHILLER, et al.	Plaintiffs,		04 CV 7922 (KMK)
-versus-	Tidinenio,		0.1077,322 (12.12.)
	et al. Defendants.	Y	
DEIRDRE MACNAMARA,		٨	
-versus-	•		04 CV 9216 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	Y	
CAROL DUDEK, -versus-	Plaintiff,	^	04 CV 10178 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	v	
JON WINKELMAN, -versus-	Plaintiff,	^	05 CV 2910 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	Defendants.		
KATHERINE ROSE,	Plaintiff,	х	05 CV 2927 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al. Defendants.	~	
JEFFEREY BLACK, -versus-	Plaintíff,	^	05 CV 3616 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al. Defendants.		USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 10/3/05

CATHIE L. BELL, Plaintiff, O5 CV 3705 (KMK) -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  MICHAEL MARCAVAGE Plaintiff, -versus-  NOEL GROSSO, Plaintiff, -versus-  NOEL GROSSO, Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  ADAM WROBLEWSKI, Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ADAM WROBLEWSKI, Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  O5 CV 5268 (KMK)			v	
MICHAEL MARCAVAGE Plaintiff, -versus-  O5 CV 4949 (KMK)  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  NOEL GROSSO, Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  ADAM WROBLEWSKI, Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALIZABETH STARIN, Plaintiffs, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  O5 CV 5268 (KMK)  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.	CATHIE L. BELL,		Α	05 CV 3705 (KMK)
MICHAEL MARCAVAGE Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  NOEL GROSSO, Plaintiff, -versus-  THIE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  ADAM WROBLEWSKI, Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  **ELIZABETH STARIN, Plaintiffs, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  **X  **ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  **X  **ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  **X  **ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.	THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	Defendants.	v	
Defendants.  NOEL GROSSO,  Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  ADAM WROBLEWSKI, Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ELIZABETH STARIN, Plaintiffs, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.			A	05 CV 4949 (KMK)
NOEL GROSSO,  Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  Plaintiffs, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.		Defendants.	v	
Defendants.  ADAM WROBLEWSKI,  Plaintiff, -versus-  Defendants.  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  Plaintiffs, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  **ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  **ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff, -versus-  O5 CV 5152 (KMK)  **THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  **THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.	NOEL GROSSO,		^	05 CV 5080 (KMK)
ADAM WROBLEWSKI,  Plaintiff, -versus-  O5 CV 5150 (KMK)  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X ELIZABETH STARIN, Plaintiffs, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.  X ALISOUN MEEHAN Plaintiff, -versus-  O5 CV 5268 (KMK)  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Dofendants.		Defendants.		
Defendants.	ADAM WROBLEWSKI,		Х	05 CV 5150 (KMK)
ELIZABETH STARIN,  Plaintiffs,  -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff,  -versus-  O5 CV 5152 (KMK)  05 CV 5152 (KMK)  05 CV 5152 (KMK)  X  ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff,  Defendants.		Defendants.		
Defendants.  ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff, -versus-  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al. Defendants.	ELIZABETH STARIN,		х	05 CV 5152 (KMK)
ALISOUN MEEHAN  Plaintiff,  -versus-  05 CV 5268 (KMK)  THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.		Defendants.	v	
Defendants.	ALISOUN MEEHAN		X	05 CV 5268 (KMK)
	THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	Defendants.	X	

JEANETTE LAHN-SHEEN	LEE,		
	Plaintiff,		05 CV 5528 (KMK)
-versus-			05 CV 5528 (KIVIK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.	v	
STUART HABER,		^	
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 6193 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.	v	
JULIA R. COHEN,		А	
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 6780 (KMK)
	, et al. Defendants.	v	
CHRIS J. KORNICKE,		^	_
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 7025 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.	v	
KYLA-HANNAH HERSHE		Χ	
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 7026 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	Defendants.	v	
ARI TERIAN,			
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 7536 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.	v	
		^	

JOHN J. PAGODA,	•		
	Plaintiff,		05 CV 7546 (KMK)
-versus-			
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al.		
	Defendants.	v	
KANDACE VALLEJO		Λ	
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 7547 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.		
	Defendants.	X	
GRETA SMITH	D1-1-4166		05 CN 7549 (VNIV)
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 7548 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.		
	Defendants.	x	
SHARONE BUNIM,	Plaintiff,		05 CV 1562 (VMV)
-versus-	riamini,		05 CV 1562 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK			
	Defendants.	v	
RITU KALRA,		^	
-versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 1563 (KMK)
701843-			05 CV (505 (KMR)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.		
LINDSAY RYAN,		X	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Plaintiff,		
-versus-			05 CV 1564 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK			
	Defendants.	x	

ADRIENNE GARBARINI, -versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 1565 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.		
ADAM GREENWALD, -versus-	Plaintiff,	X	05 CV 1566 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	v	
BRIAN PICKETT, -versus-	Plaintiff,	Α	05 CV 1567 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	v	
WENDY TREMAYNE, -versus-	Plaintiff,	Χ	05 CV 1568 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	_	
DIANE WILLIAMS, -versus-	Plaintiff,	х	05 CV 1569 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	Defendants.		
JEREMY BIDDLE, -versus-	Plaintiff,	х	05 CV 1570 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al. Defendants.	x	

MATTHEW MORAN, -versus-	Plaintiff,		05 CV 1571 (KMK)
	Defendants.		
SACHA BOTBOL, -versus-	Plaintiff,	х	05 CV 1572 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	v	
TIFFANY BURNS, -versus-	Plaintiff,	Λ	05 CV 1573 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	v	
SCOTT KERNS, -versus-	Plaintiff,	۸	05 CV 1574 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	Defendants.	x	

On April 21, 2005, counsel for the parties in the then pending actions and counsel for parties who intended to commence related actions were heard in open court. The Court inquired as to whether all counsel agreed in principle to consolidated discovery and all counsel present expressed their assent. Those counsel and counsel for the plaintiffs in actions that were thereafter either transferred to the Honorable Kenneth M. Karas ("Judge Karas") or commenced and assigned to Judge Karas drafted an agreement and transmitted the same to the Court for its consideration. For the foregoing reasons, and in the interests of the convenience and economy of

the parties, and the efficient management and oversight of the Court's docket, it is hereby ORDERED:

- Consolidated Depositions. The above-captioned matters arose from a 1. series of arrests and detentions during the Republican National Convention ("RNC") in late August and early September 2004. The parties have agreed that certain witnesses in these matters, particularly certain employees and former employees of The City of New York as well as certain experts retained by The City of New York ("Defense Witnesses"), are likely to be called upon to provide testimony in more than one of the foregoing cases assigned to Judge Karas, and possibly in other cases to be brought in the future that will be assigned to Judge Karas ("RNC (KMK) Cases"). Although the applicability of such testimony to particular cases may vary, the parties have agreed to the mutual value of deposing such witnesses once, rather than multiple times, for purposes of all RNC (KMK) Cases. Accordingly, in all RNC (KMK) Cases, depositions of all Defense Witnesses shall be noticed as set forth in this Order. Any Defense Witness designated a "Consolidation Witness," under the procedure set forth below, shall be deposed once for purposes of all RNC (KMK) Cases and shall not be re-deposed in any such case absent a showing of good cause. With the exception of designated expert witnesses, the depositions of Consolidation Witnesses are expected to commence in October 2005.
- 2. <u>Deposition Notices</u>. Notice of depositions of all Defense Witnesses shall be given in the manner prescribed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, except as set forth below. After reaching agreement with defense counsel on a mutually convenient deposition date, a plaintiff's counsel may notice the deposition of a Defense Witness.

Such notice shall be transmitted by Adobe PDF document ("PDF") sent by email. The party wishing to take the deposition of a Defense Witness shall email the deposition notice to defendants, care of counsel for defendants, Jay A. Kranis, at <a href="mailto:ikranis@law.nyc.gov">ikranis@law.nyc.gov</a>, and to all other persons on the RNC distribution list (the "RNC Distribution List") at least 30 days in advance of the proposed deposition date.

- 3. <u>Cross-Notices</u>. Any other party wishing to depose the same witness shall cross-notice the deposition, by PDF email to the RNC Distribution List, at least <u>21 days</u> in advance of the proposed deposition date.
- 4. <u>Designation of Consolidation Witnesses</u>. At least <u>15 days</u> in advance of the proposed deposition date, defense counsel shall advise opposing counsel, by email to the RNC Distribution List, whether the witness noticed for deposition shall be designated a "Consolidation Witness." Absent such designation, a Defense Witness may be made available for separate depositions in the cases in which the witness has been noticed. Arresting officers or other witnesses who may be examined on discrete areas of knowledge, each of which may be pertinent to the claims of only a single plaintiff, for these reasons presumptively will not be considered Consolidation Witnesses.
- 5. Attendance. Any plaintiff's counsel wishing to attend the deposition of a Consolidation Witness, whether or not such counsel intends to examine the witness, shall give notice by email to defense counsel at least 7 days in advance of the proposed deposition date, to allow sufficient time for adequate facilities to be arranged. In light of the number of parties and counsel involved in this litigation, and the attendant difficulty of securing facilities for a large number of individuals, no more than one counsel per

plaintiff's law firm, or one counsel per plaintiff, whichever is less, shall attend the deposition of a Consolidation Witness, absent exceptional circumstances. Nothing herein is intended to prevent any plaintiff's counsel from attending and examining any witness at a deposition, so long as notice has been given as contemplated in this paragraph.

- 6. <u>Confirmations</u>. At least <u>48 hours</u> before the commencement of the deposition of a Consolidation Witness, defense counsel shall transmit an email to the RNC Distribution List confirming the deposition as noticed or, in the exceptional case, advising of any material change, including any change in date, time or place of the deposition.
- 7. RNC Distribution List; Adequate Notice. The parties shall put together a list of recipients that includes defense counsel as well as at least one counsel from each law firm that represents one or more plaintiffs in the above-captioned cases (or that firm's designee) which shall comprise the RNC Distribution List. The RNC Distribution List shall be modified from time to time to add counsel who subsequently have filed RNC cases that are assigned to Judge Karas and to remove counsel as appropriate. All counsel on the RNC Distribution List shall be provided notice of any proposed change to the RNC Distribution List, including notice of any additions or deletions, so that all counsel can maintain an accurate and complete distribution list; and it shall be the responsibility of each counsel to maintain an accurate and complete distribution list. Service of the deposition notice, as contemplated above, upon counsel on the RNC Distribution List shall be adequate notice to each party represented by each counsel.

- 8. <u>Conduct of the Deposition</u>. Counsel are strongly encouraged to designate a lead counsel for purposes of examining Consolidation Witnesses. In any event, no more than one counsel per law firm, or one counsel per party, whichever is less, may examine a Consolidation Witness absent good cause shown. Alternatively, counsel may participate by submitting up to twenty (20) written questions to be read to the deponent by the stenographic reporter and answered on the record. The parties agree that the seven hour limit on deposition testimony is suspended with respect to Consolidation Witnesses and agree that they will not abuse the foregoing understanding.
- 9. <u>Use of Testimony; Preclusion</u>. The deposition testimony of Consolidation Witnesses may be used in any case in conformity with the Federal Rules of Evidence and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Any counsel who received notice of the deposition, or participated in the deposition, of a Consolidation Witness, whether counsel merely observed or asked questions, shall be precluded from separately deposing that witness in any RNC (KMK) Case absent good cause shown.
- 10. <u>Privilege Determinations</u>. The parties have agreed that, after an adequate opportunity for an interlocutory appeal and an appellate decision in any such appeal (and not before), with respect to a particular privilege determination made with respect to a particular document in one of the above-captioned cases, the parties shall not contest the same issue with respect to that same document in another RNC case, but shall be guided by the prior ruling insofar as it applies to the second case; *provided that* all counsel on the RNC Distribution List shall have been notified and, subject to the approval of the Court,

have had the opportunity to participate in any related motion practice, briefing and oral argument.

- 11. <u>Document Numbering</u>. Defense counsel shall put in place a unified numbering system for documents produced by defendants in the RNC (KMK) Cases.
- 12. <u>Pier 57 Video and Other Confidential Materials</u>. The Court shall separately address the issues raised by the parties (during the April 21, 2005 conference) concerning production of the Pier 57 video and other confidential materials.
- 13. <u>MacNamara Schedule</u>. The Court previously has entered its Case Management Order in the case of <u>MacNamara</u>, et al. v. The City of New York, et al., and nothing in this Discovery Order shall be construed to affect any of the provisions of that Case Management Order.
- 14. Schiller Schedule. The Court shall separately address the schedule to be put in place in the case of Schiller, et al. v. The City of New York, et al.
- 15. <u>Initial Conferences</u>. The Court shall forego initial conferences in any RNC (KMK) Cases in which such conferences have not yet been held. In each such case, after issue is joined, the parties shall submit a proposed case management order modeled on the case management order entered by this Court in MacNamara.
- 16. Newly Filed RNC Cases. In addition to counsel in the above-captioned cases, the following counsel, who expect to commence additional RNC cases, have agreed that the terms of this Order shall govern any new RNC cases commenced by them that are assigned to Judge Karas: Martin Stolar, Esq., Gideon Oliver, Esq., Norman

Frederick Best, Esq., Susan Taylor, Esq., Michael Spiegel, Esq., Jeffrey Rothman, Esq., John Ware Upton, Esq., Alan D. Levine, Esq. and Alan Levine, Esq.

17. <u>Modification</u>. This Order shall not be modified except upon a showing of good cause.

#### IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED:

New York, New York

<del>September \_\_\_\_</del>, 2005

Kenneth M. Karas.

USW-1

104/20/2000	rage to or zo
USDC SDN	Y
DOCUMEN	
	NICALLY FILED
DOC #:	D: 10/6/05
DAIE FILE	D: 10/6/03

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DATE FILED: 10/6/0
x	PROTECTIVE ORDER #
MICHAEL SCHILLER, et al.  Plaintiffs, -versus-	04 CV 7922 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.	
DEIRDRE MACNAMARA, et al. Plaintiffs, -versus-	04 CV 9216 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.	
CAROL DUDEK,  Plaintiff,  -versus-	04 CV 10178 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.	
JON WINKELMAN,  Plaintiff,  -versus-	05 CV 2910 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.	
KATHERINE ROSE,  Plaintiff, -versus-	05 CV 2927 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.	
JEFFEREY BLACK, Plaintiff, -versus-	05 CV 3616 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.  Defendants.	

CATHIE L. BELL,	Plaintiff,	05 CV 3705 (KMK)
-versus-	Transiti,	03 6 7 3703 (11.111)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al. Defendants.	
MICHAEL MARCAVAGE -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 4949 (KMK)
	et al. Defendants.	
NOEL GROSSO, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 5080 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
ADAM WROBLEWSKI.	Plaintiff,	05 CV 5150 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
ELIZABETH STARIN, -versus-	Plaintiffs,	05 CV 5152 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
ALISOUN MEEHAN -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 5268 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants, x	
JEANETTE LAHN-SHEEN	LEE, Plaintiff,	

-versus-		05 CV 5528 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK,	et al. Defendants.	
STUART HABER, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 6193 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
JULIA R. COHEN, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 6780 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
CHRIS J. KORNICKE, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 7025 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	Defendants.	
KYLA-HANNAH HERSHE	Y-WILSON, Plaintiff,	05 CV 7026 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	Defendants.	
ARI TERIAN, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 7536 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
JOHN J. PAGODA, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 7546 (KMK)

THE CITY OF NEW YORK.	, et al. Defendants x	
KANDACE VALLEJO -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 7547 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
GRETA SMITH -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 7548 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
SHARONE BUNIM, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1562 (KMK)
	, et al. Defendants.	
RITU KALRA ,	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1563 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants.	
LINDSAY RYAN, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1564 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, ct al. Defendants.	
ADRIENNE GARBARINIversus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1565 (KMK)

THE CITY OF NEW YORK	Defendants.	
ADAM GREENWALD, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1566 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
BRIAN PICKETT, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1567 (KMK)
	, et al. Defendants. x	
WENDY TREMAYNE, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1568 (KMK)
	, et al. Defendants. x	
DIANE WILLIAMS, -versus-	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1569 (KMK)
	Defendants.	
JEREMY BIDDLE,	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1570 (KMK)
THE CITY OF NEW YORK	, et al. Defendants. x	
MATTHEW MORAN,  -versus- THE CITY OF NEW YORK	Plaintiff,	05 CV 1571 (KMK)
THE CHARGE NEW TORK	. Ct al.	

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have requested that The City of New York produce to Plaintiffs certain video tapes and other materials in discovery;

WHEREAS, The City of New York ("the City") has identified one such video tape, Defendants' Tape # 109, Bates stamped SCH-D 1022, as containing confidential information the disclosure of which may violate the privacy interests of those individuals who are depicted on it, and has objected to the production of such video tape absent an appropriate court order;

WHEREAS, the Court has ordered production of Defendants' Tape #109 ("Pier 57 Video") to Plaintiffs' Counsel;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Scope of Disclosure. The Pier 57 Video may be shared by counsel for the parties with the staff of their respective law offices, the parties and experts retained by the parties. Each such individual shall be provided a copy of this Protective Order #1 ("Protective Order"), for his or her review, and shall execute the acknowledgement form attached hereto as <a href="Exhibit">Exhibit "A"</a> before being permitted to view the Pier 57 Video. Counsel for the parties hereby acknowledge their responsibility for the conduct of any lawyers and any non-lawyers permitted by them to view any part of the Pier 57 Video, as contemplated by New York law, including the Lawyers Code of Professional Responsibility, Disciplinary Rule (DR) 1-104.
- One Permissible Purpose. Plaintiffs' Counsel and any others who may gain
  access to the Pier 57 Video through Plaintiffs' Counsel shall not use the Pier
  57 Video for any purpose other than to prosecute one or more of the abovecaptioned matters.
- 3. <u>Filing Under Seal</u>. If the Pier 57 Video or any other document that incorporates any confidential information derived from the Pier 57 Video is filed with the Court, such materials shall be delivered to the Court enclosed in a sealed envelope bearing the caption of the action, an indication of the nature of its contents and the following legend:

#### CONFIDENTIAL

This envelope contains documents or information designated confidential pursuant to an order entered by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in the above-captioned action. This envelope shall not be opened or unsealed without the express

direction of a judge of this Court, and its contents shall not be displayed or revealed except as the Court may order. This envelope and its contents shall at all times be maintained separate and apart from the publicly available files of this case.

- 4. Return; Affidavit; Court Record Sealed. Within 30 days of the termination of the action (and the termination or expiration of any right to appeal) in which the Pier 57 Video was produced, the Pier 57 Video and all copies of it, along with notes and all other materials containing or referring to confidential information derived from it (not including privileged or work product materials and filings with the court), shall be returned to the New York City Law Department, c/o Jay A. Kranis. All persons who possessed such materials shall, by affidavit furnished to Jay A. Kranis, contemporaneously verify that all such materials have been returned to him. In addition, the portions of the Court record incorporating such materials shall be sealed.
- 5. Additional Confidential Materials. In the event that the parties discover additional video tapes, audio tapes, other documents or any other evidentiary material that they deem confidential, they may so designate that material as "Confidential" and subject to this Protective Order by affixing to that material a label or stamp identifying that material as "Confidential." Depositions that contain Confidential information may be designated as Confidential by stating on the record of the deposition, preferably at the outset, that the deposition does or may contain Confidential information and is subject to this Protective Order. Alternatively, the parties may designate Confidential materials as Confidential by notifying the appropriate parties in writing. Such

Confidential material, in whatever form, shall be protected in precisely the same manner as this Protective Order provides for the Pier 57 Video.

Filed 04/28/2006

- 6. Court Challenge. In the event that either party disagrees with the designation of particular material as "Confidential," such party shall attempt in good faith to resolve the disagreement with the opposing counsel and, if the parties eannot resolve the matter, they may raise it with the Court.
- 7. Violation. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing provisions is a violation of this Court's order and may subject the violator(s) to any sanction deemed appropriate by the Court.
- 8. No Waiver. Nothing in this Protective Order shall be construed as a waiver by any party of any right, defense or objection that that party may have. Among other things, the parties have not waived, and have expressly reserved, any objections to the admissibility of the Pier 57 Video.

Modification. This protective order may be modified only upon a showing of 9, good cause.

Dated: New York, New York October 4, 2005

Filed 04/28/2006

#### EXHIBIT "A"

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he or she has received a copy of the Protective Order entered in the case captioned MacNamara, et al. v. The City of New York, et al., 04 CV 9216 (KMK) (among other captions), pending before Judge Kenneth M. Karas of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York; acknowledges that he or she has read the Protective Order, understands its terms and agrees to be bound by its terms; agrees not to use the Pier 57 Video (or any other "Confidential" material) for any purpose other than in direct connection with the prosecution of one or more of the cases captioned in the Protective Order; and agrees not to disclose the Pier 57 Video (or any other "Confidential" material).

Date
Signature
Printed Namc
Plaintiff's Attorney With Whom You Are Associated
Relationship To Plaintiff's Attorney
Nature of Confidential

Material Provided To Me: